1

COPY of Declaration and Power Littorney filed in pri r U.S. patent application, INTENDED FOR U.S. Divisional Patent Application filed on October 15, 2001, of Margaret K. H stetter, et al. under attorney d cket no. 110.00280103

Docket 110.00280101

## DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

We, Margaret K. Hostetter, Cheryl A. Gale, Catherine M. Bendel, Nian-jun Tao, and Kathleen Kendrick, declare that: (1) our respective residences, citizenships, and mailing addresses are indicated below; (2) we have reviewed and understand the contents of the below-identified patent application, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to herein, (3) we believe that we are the original, first, and joint inventors of the subject matter in

## CANDIDA ALBICANS GENE, INTEGRIN-LIKE PROTEIN, ANTIBODIES, AND METHODS OF USE

Filed: May 3, 1996

Serial No.: 08/642,846

described and claimed therein and for which a patent is sought; and (4) we hereby acknowledge our duty to disclose to the Patent and Trademark Office all information known to us to be material to the patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.\*

We hereby appoint Ann M. Mueting (Reg. No. 33,977), Kevin W. Raasch (Reg. No. 35,651), Mark J. Gebhardt (Reg. No. 35,518), Karl G. Schwappach (Reg. No. 35,786), and Myra H. McCormack (Reg. No. 36,602) our attorneys with full powers (including the powers of appointment, substitution, and revocation) to prosecute this application and any division, continuation, continuation-in-part, reexamination, or reissue thereof, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to:

Attention: Ann M. Mueting

Mueting, Raasch, Gebhardt & Schwappach, P.A.

P.O. Box 581415

Minneapolis, MN 55458-1415 Telephone No. (612) 305-1217

The undersigned declare further that all statements made herein of their own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Wherefore, we pray that Letters Patent be granted to us for the invention described and claimed in the above-identified patent application and we hereby subscribe our names to the foregoing specification and claims, Declaration and Power of Attorney, on the date indicated below.

Name:

Margaret K. Hostetter

Date

Residence: Citizenship: Minneapolis, Minnesota United States of America

Address:

1832 Kenwood Parkway Minneapolis, MN 55405

Chery a Dale

7/18/96

Name:

Cheryl A. Gale

Residence:

Minneapolis, Minnesota United States of America

Citizenship: Address:

United States of America 3455 33rd Avenue South

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55406

Declaration and Power of Attorney Serial No. 08/642,846

Filed: May 3, 1996

Title: CANDIDA ALBICANS GENE, INTEGRIN-LIKE PROTEIN, ANTIBODIES, AND METHODS OF USE

Page 2 of 3

Date

Date

Name: Residence:

Catherine M. Bendel Hopkins, Minnesota

Citizenship: Address:

United States of America

98 Hawthorne

Hopkins, Minnesota 55343

ON FILING

Name:

IJ.

=

Nian-jun Tao

Residence: Citizenship: Malden, Massachusetts People's Republic of China

Address:

99 Florence Street, Apt. 623 Malden, Massachusetts 02148

Name

Kathleen Kendrick Columbus, Ohio

Residence: Citizenship:

United States of America

Address:

3131 Wareham

Columbus, Ohio 43221

\*Title 37, code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 is reproduced on the attached page. PAT-040.FRM Rev. 12/29/95

Declaration and Power of Attorney Serial No. 08/642,846

Filed: May 3, 1996 Title: CANDIDA ALBICANS GENE, INTEGRIN-LIKE PROTEIN, ANTIBODIES, AND METHODS OF USE

Page 2 of 3

Name:

Catherine M. Bendel

Date

Residence:

Hopkins, Minnesota United States of America

Citizenship: Address:

98 Hawthorne

Hopkins, Minnesota 55343

ijī

1

Date

Name:

Nian-jun Tao

Residence:

Malden, Massachusetts

Citizenship: Address:

People's Republic of China 99 Florence Street, Apt. 623

Malden, Massachusetts 02148

ENTOR(S) DELETED

Name

Residence:

Columbus, Ohio

Citizenship:

Kathleen Kendrick

United States of America

Address:

3131 Wareham

Columbus, Ohio 43221

Declaration and Power of Attorney Serial No. 08/642,846 Filed: May 3, 1996

Title: CANDIDA ALBICANS GENE, INTEGRIN-LIKE PROTEIN, ANTIBODIES, AND METHODS OF USE

Page 2 of 3

Name:

Catherine M. Bendel

Date

Residence:

Hopkins, Minnesota United States of America

Citizenship: Address:

98 Hawthorne

Hopkins, Minnesota 55343

Name:

Nian-jun Tao

Date

Residence:

Malden, Massachusetts

Citizenship:

People's Republic of China

Address:

99 Florence Street, Apt. 623

Malden, Massachusetts 02148

Name

Kathleen Kendrick

Residence:

Columbus, Ohio

Citizenship:

United States of America

Address:

3131 Wareham

3131 Warenam

Columbus, Ohio 43221

Declaration and Power of Attorney
Serial No. 08/642,846
Filed: May 3, 1996
Title: CANDIDA ALBICANS GENE, INTEGRIN-LIKE PROTEIN, ANTIBODIES, AND METHODS OF USE
Page 3 of 3

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
  - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office. or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this exection are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
  - (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.